



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/730,840 | 12/09/2003 | Douglas Horn | HORD / 06 | 5189 |
| 26875 WOOD HERR | 7590 01/28/2008 ON & EVANS, LLP | | EXAMINER . | |
| 2700 CAREW | TOWER | | AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S | |
| 441 VINE STR CINCINNATI, | | • | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2614 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/28/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|---|
| Advisory Action | 10/730,840 | HORN, DOUGLAS | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Rasha S. AL-Aubaidi | 2614 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence addre | :ss |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | Appeal. To avoid aband fidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CFF | e, which R 41.31; or (3) |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL | n. ED WIT HIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comparison. | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da). oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | of the fee. The appropriat inally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, even filed within two months | te extension fee action; or (2) as en if timely filed, of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | | | appeal. Since |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: | nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej | TE below); | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | n be entered and an ex | рынацон он |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidat | vit or other evidence is r | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fails | to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered because: see attachment. | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| | | | |

à

10/730,840 Art Unit: 2614

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/19/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant argument (page 13 of the Remarks) "the Examiner is arguing that element 116 in Kipust is both the signal port, to which the signal cable connects, and also is the locking device". The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In the final office action (mailed 07/19/2007), the Examiner read the claimed "locking device" as the sensor 116 as shown in Fig. 1 of Kipust. The Examiner did not read element (116) as the signal port. The use of port is absolutely inherent in the Kipust system. The Examiner could not identify in the mailed office action where exactly element 116 is read as the signal port. Applicant is required to refer to the exact portion where the Examiner read "element 116" as the claimed "signal port".

Also, regarding Applicant's argument "That is, the same element 118, as part of the computer 102, is argued to be both at one end of the signal cable, and also is the processor". Again reviewing the final office action (mailed 07/19/2007) the Examiner read the element 118 as the claimed "controller". The Examiner fail to identify which section of the office action states that the Examiner read element 118 as "one end of the signal cable". Applicant is required to point out to the exact portion where the Examiner read "element 118" and the claimed "signal cable".

Applicant also argues that "controller 118 (processor) does not control the proximity sensor 116 (locking device) in Kipust". First, Examiner assumes that Applicant

Application/Control Number:

10/730,840

Art Unit: 2614

is refereeing to the cited portion of col. 4, lines 35-37, which actually disclose that sensor 116 transmits signal to controller 118. The signal itself controls (part of the signal functionality) the operation of the security system 100. Also, sensor 116 (which reads on the locking device) is actually coupled just as recited in claim 1 to element 118 (which reads on the processor).

Regarding Applicant's argument for the use of a "plug lock", the Examiner believes that the main principle of utilizing the "plug lock" is to prevent an authorized use for the electronic device. Thus securing the device electronically or mechanically both should lead to the same end result which is preventing the access of electronic information within a device such as a PC.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Application/Control Number:

10/730,840

Art Unit: 2614

Page 4

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RASHA S. AL-AUBAIDI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2614